REVIEW

P53 long noncoding RNA regulatory network in cancer development

Surendar Aravindhan¹ | Laith A. Younus² | Methaq Hadi lafta³ | Alexander Markov⁴ | Yulianna Ivanovna Enina⁵ | Natalya A. Yushchenko⁶ | Lakshmi Thangavelu⁷ | Seyed Mojtaba Mostafavi⁸ | Michail V. Pokrovskii⁹ | Majid Ahmadi¹⁰

¹Department of Pharmacology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, chennai, India ²Department of Clinical Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, Jabir Ibn Hayyan Medical University, Al Najaf Al Ashraf, Najaf, Iraq ³Iraqi Ministry of Education, Iraq

⁴Tyumen State Medical University, Tyumen, Russian Federation

⁵Department of Propaedeutics of Dental Diseases, Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russian Federation

⁶Department of Legal Disciplines, Kazan Federal University, Kazan, Russian Federation

Revised: 8 March 2021

⁷Department of Pharmacology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospital, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, India ⁸HiTech Institute of Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, India

⁹Department of Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacology, Institute of Medicine, Belgorod State National Research University, Belgorod, Russian Federation ¹⁰Stem Cell Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

Correspondence

Surendar Aravindhan, Assistant Professor, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Al-Ameen Engineering College, Erode, Tamilnadu. Erode, Tamil Nadu 638 104, India.

Email: surendararavindhan@ieee.org

Majid Ahmadi, Stem Cell Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. Email: ahmadi.m@tbzmed.ac.ir

Funding information Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Grant/Award Number: 65951

Abstract

The protein p53 as a transcription factor with strong tumor-suppressive activities is known to trigger apoptosis via multiple pathways and is directly involved in the recognition of DNA damage and DNA repair processes. P53 alteration is now recognized as a common event in the pathogenesis of many types of human malignancies. Deregulation of tumor suppressor p53 pathways plays an important role in the activation of cell proliferation or inactivation of apoptotic cell death during carcinogenesis and tumor progression. Mounting evidence indicates that the p53 status of tumors and also the regulatory functions of p53 may be relevant to the long noncoding RNAs (IncRNA)-dependent gene regulation programs. Besides coding genes, IncRNAs that do not encode for proteins are induced or suppressed by p53 transcriptional response and thus control cancer progression. LncRNAs also have emerged as key regulators that impinge on the p53 signaling network orchestrating global gene-expression profile. Studies have suggested that aberrant expression of IncRNAs as a molecular-genomic signature may play important roles in cancer biology. Accordingly, it is important to elucidate the mechanisms by which the crosstalk between IncRNAs and p53 occurs in the development of numerous cancers. Here, we review how several classes of IncRNAs and p53 pathways are Cell Biology -WILEY- International

linked together in controlling the cell cycle and apoptosis in various cancer cells in both human and mouse model systems.

KEYWORDS

cancer, long noncoding RNA, noncoding RNA, P53, regulatory network, tumor genetic

1 | INTRODUCTION

Cancer continues to be associated with significant morbidity and mortality worldwide, accounting for an estimated 18.1 million new cases and 9.6 million deaths in 2018; therefore, planning to control cancer is a priority public health objective (Ferlay et al., 2019). It has been clear for many years that cancer is associated with mutated genes or alterations in gene expression levels, and exploring targets and trends in the genetics of human cancer is increasingly used for diagnostic and treatment purposes (Ahmadi, Gharibi, et al., 2017). Such genetic modifications are heterogeneous and may be related to activating mutations in oncogenes, which ultimately drive tumorigenesis or loss-of-function mutations in tumor-suppressor genes typically involved in cell growth regulation in physiological conditions (Esteller, 2011; Shali et al., 2016). Upon tumor establishment, developing hypoxia as well as inflammatory responses may confer survival advantages to the tumor cells and contribute to malignant progression leading to the acquisition of invasive properties and eventually to metastasis (Bartkowiak et al., 2012; Campbell et al., 2010; Yachida et al., 2010). It is notable that a vast majority of cancer-related mortalities are caused by such metastatic diseases (Gholamkhasi et al., 2020: Peitzsch et al., 2017). The p53 tumor suppressor protein is a critical guardian of genome integrity and mediator of apoptosis (Lavin & Gueven, 2006) whose disruption seems to be a general feature of malignant cells. Many studies have reported the aberrant expression of p53 in the malignant tumors of several organs and its correlation with various other oncogenes in the malignant transformation of cells (Chui et al., 2020). In response to DNAdamage induced by various stresses stimuli, which can facilitate mutations of the genome, the p53 is activated by increasing its stability and activity; however, under normal conditions, the p53 protein is maintained at low levels. In different types of tissues, depending on the extent of the damage, activated p53 protein can either arrest cell cycle to repair DNA or switch "on" the pathways of programmed cell death (often referred to as apoptosis), which force the injured cells to commit suicide (Green & Kroemer, 2009; Moll et al., 2005). Moreover, the induction of p53 function can inhibit DNA-damaged cells from cell cycle progression. Hence, p53 protein is considered the master regulator of cell fate and the guardian of the genome having a pivotal role in preventing cancer development (Efeyan & Serrano, 2007; Nabati et al., 2018).

Studies have shown that p53 directly activates a set of genes whose functions are to assist in the suppression of tumor growth through multiple pathways, including cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and DNA repair (T. Riley et al., 2008). Besides the protein-coding genes, noncoding RNAs have been found to be the targets of p53. Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) are functional transcripts without proteincoding potential, which mainly consists of microRNAs (miRNAs) and long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) (Eghbal-Fard et al., 2019). MiRNAs as the key mediators of messenger RNA (mRNA) downregulation have been characterized for inhibition of protein expression caused by p53, thereby disrupting the underlying processes that contribute to tumor initiation, progression, and invasion (Hermeking, 2012; Latha et al., 2019). LncRNAs are known to orchestrate transcriptional control of specialized cells in the human body (Ahmadi, Abdolmohammadi-Vahid, et al., 2017; Ahmadi, Gharibi, et al., 2017). Reportedly, IncRNAs are confirmed to control important aspects of the events underlying tumor development and progression, so that these cells are expected to die when the transcript is silenced. It is also possible to exploit the expression profile of IncRNAs to discern the status of cell biology. The number of IncRNAs has expanded to nearly 50,000 so far and is still growing. Furthermore, the IncRNAs display cell-type specific and time-dependent expression patterns, so that only a small subset is expressed in all cell types (Blokhin et al., 2018). Recently, the important role of IncRNAs has been established downstream of the p53 pathway in tumor suppression (Grossi et al., 2016; A. Zhang, Xu, et al., 2014), or they may function to regulate the p53 expression and/or activities. Thus, the focus of this review is to describe the functional correlations between the p53 pathway and some classes of IncRNAs in developing different cancers, although research is, by necessity, ongoing in this area.

2 | HUMAN TUMOR SUPPRESSORS

It is widely accepted that the deletion of tumor suppressor genes most frequently occurs at the pre-cancerous and/or early stages of the tumor either by genetic mutations or epigenetic posttranscriptional modifications (W. Sun & Yang, 2010). The resulting decrease in the function or expression of the affected tumor suppressor genes can cause the cells to acquire the ability to evade cell cycle arrest in different phases by various mechanisms (W. Sun & Yang, 2010). Many of these genes are regulated by a nearby antisense RNA transcript, adding to the complex regulatory network that controls tumor suppressor genes (Yu et al., 2008). Also, many cancerassociated genes tend to be expressed from bidirectional promoters that synthesize mRNA and divergent ncRNA (M. Q. Yang et al., 2007). There have been four major mechanisms describing the importance of tumor suppressors in preventing tumorigenesis, including suppression of cellular proliferation, apoptosis induction, DNA damage repair, and prevention of metastasis. Many tumor suppressors seem to exert only one of these functions, while others may be involved in more than one mechanism (W. Sun & Yang, 2010). Of note, tumor-suppressive activities are not solely attributed to proteins, but also to lncRNAs (Y. Zhou et al., 2012).

3 | P53 TUMOR SUPPRESSOR (TP53) GENE AND p53 PROTEIN

The protein p53 was first identified in 1979 and, years later, was characterized as a tumor suppressor. In human, the TP53 is located in chromosome 17p13.1 and contains 11 exons. The coded protein is approximately 53 kDa in molecular weight with 393 amino acids in length (Nikolova et al., 2000). P53 is a nuclear transcription factor with sequence-specific DNA-binding activity that forms a tetramer and binds target genes to affect their transcription (Kamada et al., 2016). It regulates these genes either through direct transcriptional regulation or by modulating the activities of other proteins. P53-induced gene expression may lead to the induction of growth arrest in the G1 phase or before mitosis in the G2 phase. This cell cycle arrest enables the repair of DNA lesions. By undergoing programmed cell death, cells that are arrested in the cell cycle are eliminated and thus protect against the fixation of DNA mutations. Frequent mutations of the p53 gene are thought to have an important role in a wide variety of human cancers. Tumor cells harboring p53 defects are deficient in repairing DNA damage and disrupt cell cycle checkpoints, resulting in genomic instability and cellular transformation (Benchimol, 2001).

4 | P53 AND CANCERS

Several of the mechanisms by which cancer cells inactivate p53 include p53 binding to viral proteins, alteration of genes whose products either regulate p53 activity (mouse double minute 2 homolog [Mdm2] or p19ARF genes), and abnormal cytoplasmic localization of p53. Genetic alteration in the p53 gene is, however, the most common aberration of p53 in human malignancies. The most frequent sites of mutations in the TP53 gene is in the exons 4-9, which encode for the conserved central DNA-binding region of the protein. Single-base substitutions constitute a large proportion of all mutations in the TP53 gene. Mutations beyond six codons called the hotspot codons account for approximately 30% of all p53 mutations and influence the protein-DNA interactions and also the conformation of the protein (Hermeking, 2012). Many p53 mutants are reported to disrupt p53 function and drive tumorigenesis by dominantnegative effects over normal TP53 allele; that is, when a mutant allele not only lose its tumor-suppressive activities but also interfere with the function of wild-type p53 in a manner advantageous for neoplastic transformation (Aubrey et al., 2018; Merkle et al., 2017). Because the p53 protein functions as a tetrameric transcription

1585

factor, mono-allelic mutation of the p53 gene is thought to inhibit the function of wild-type p53 protein within a heterotetrameric complex (Kamada et al., 2016). It has been demonstrated that dominant negative p53 mutants (e.g., R248Q) are closely associated with a poor outcome in patients with various cancers (Nakazawa et al., 2019). Also, abnormal expression or the aberrant regulation of the protein frequently occurs in cancer cells with normal TP53 alleles. In an alternative mechanism, factors (such as cadmium) that prevent normal folding of p53 protein, may possibly affect its DNA-binding ability and prevent normal p53-dependent responses. Taken together, it has, therefore, been suggested that all cancer cells represent some abnormalities of the p53 tumor suppressor gene (Nikolova et al., 2000).

5 | INACTIVATION OF TP53 BY THE SMALL DNA TUMOR VIRUSES

The first hints for the role of the oncogenic virus in human cancers were found at the beginning of the 20th century, when Ellerman and Bang (1909), and Rous (1911) isolated an RNA leukemia virus and an RNA containing sarcoma virus, respectively. Richard Shope described the first DNA tumor virus known as shope fibroma virus (SFV), a 160 kb double-stranded DNA virus of the Poxvirus family (Upton et al., 1987). During the period of 1930-1960s, small DNA tumor viruses like simian vacuolating virus 40 (SV40), polyoma virus, the papilloma viruses, and human adenoviruses were discovered (Upton et al., 1987). The transformation of cells by DNA tumor viruses is accompanied by biochemical and morphological modifications, including variation in growth control. Each of these virally transformed cells contains segments of the viral genome, only some sequences of which are expressed as mRNA and protein in these cancers. The viral genome encodes a T/tumor antigen oncoprotein locus that can express four different transcripts generated by alternative splicing (Shuda et al., 2008). Studies on the viral encoded tumor antigens point to the role of these oncogenic products in regulating cell cyclespecific proteins, such as p53 (Lane & Crawford, 1979; Linzer & Levine, 1979), retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (Rb) (DeCaprio et al., 1988), protein phosphatase 2A (Pallas et al., 1990), and Budding Uninhibited by Benzimidazoles 1 (Bub1) (Cotsiki et al., 2004). Many of the early efforts in the area have proven that T antigens functionally inactivate the tumor suppressor p53, leading to deregulated expression of many genes that are modulated by p53, such as those involved in cell proliferation, DNA damage/repair, and apoptosis (Tornesello et al., 2018). The T antigen gene of the SV40 virus comprises half of the DNA and is expressed early after infection (Pipas, 2009). Experiments clearly indicate that the expression of the T antigen of SV40 DNA provides functions that are necessary for transformation (Pipas, 2009). The mechanism that T antigen uses to elicit transformation was evidenced by a combination of immunoprecipitation experiments with mutational analysis that led to the validation of two targets, the tumor suppressors p53 and pRb (retinoblastoma protein) (Pipas, 2009). Several studies have already

appeared that report the presence of T antigen-p53 complexes both in SV40-transformed and infected cells (Lane & Crawford, 1979; Linzer & Levine, 1979). In addition, it has been demonstrated that SV40 T antigen mutants that are defective for p53 binding, are also defective in transformation (Kierstead & Tevethia, 1993; Peden et al., 1998; J. Y. Zhu et al., 1991), suggesting that association of T antigen with p53 is required for transformation. This interaction can repress p53-dependent transcription via blocking its DNA binding, thus making p53 unable to bind its target promoters (Lilyestrom et al., 2006). This function is contrary to those of T antigen from Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCV), in which MCV T antigen-induced DNA damage response (DDR) activates the p53 pathway causing cell growth inhibition (J. Li, Wang, et al., 2013). Alternatively, the growth arrest response induced by p53 can be blocked through mechanisms that are independent of direct association with T antigen in SV40infected cells (Gjoerup et al., 2000).

6 | NcRNAs

It is currently estimated that only about 1.5% of the total DNA sequence comprises protein-coding fragments in the human genome (Lander et al., 2001). At the same time, it has been shown that ~75% of the genomic sequence is being transcribed into RNA and that the protein-coding region encompassing intronic sequences does not exceed 25% of all RNA transcribed from the human genomic DNA (Diebali et al., 2012). NcRNAs are the fraction of RNAs that do not encode proteins. Over the last years, a large number of various nonprotein-coding RNA molecules (ncRNAs) involved in gene regulation have been identified. NcRNAs are classified into two main categories according to their sizes; long ncRNAs whose sizes are larger than 200 bps and small ncRNAs less than 200 bp. There also appears to be other classes of ncRNAs within these two groups (Gibb et al., 2011). As an example, it has been revealed that some miRNAs are produced from IncRNAs. MiRNAs are a well-known group of ncRNAs, which contribute to gene expression by multiple mechanisms. Another class of ncRNAs is IncRNAs whose functions in regulating molecular pathways are being gradually discovered (Garofalo & Croce, 2011).

7 | LncRNAs

As mentioned before, long ncRNAs have been characterized as transcripts >200 nucleotides in size that lack coding regions for the amino acid sequences, as typically defined through an absence of open reading frames and codon conservation (Derrien et al., 2012; Morris & Mattick, 2014). Such ncRNAs have various biological functions and implicate in diverse molecular processes like X-chromosome in-activation or transcriptional gene activation (Leighton et al., 1995; Penny et al., 1996). It is known that functional RNA transcripts not encoding proteins cover most of the transcriptome, far more than coding transcripts (nearly 60,000 lncRNAs) in the human genome (lyer et al., 2015). As different data sets show only a moderate overlap with each other (between 30% and 40%), it is clear that the whole IncRNA transcriptome is still incompletely represented and that their number might further increase (Derrien et al., 2012).

LncRNAs are a highly heterogeneous class of genes, distinguished from other ncRNAs mainly by their larger size (>200 nt). The genomic annotations provided by the GENCODE consortium have grouped IncRNAs into five categories with respect to their location and orientation relative to adjacent protein-coding genes (Derrien et al., 2012); the long intergenic ncRNAs (lincRNAs) that do not intersect any protein-coding gene locus, exonic antisense IncRNAs that overlap with the exonic region of a coding gene locus on the opposite DNA strand, intronic IncRNA transcripts positioned within an intron but not exons of a coding gene on the same or opposite strand, overlapping IncRNAs, which overlap with a proteincoding gene on the same or opposite strand, and the last category is the processed transcripts considered as an individual subclass, which do not harbor an open reading frame. Of note, current studies are indicative of no explicit functional differences between these ncRNA categories (Morris & Mattick, 2014).

8 | ALTERATION OF IncRNAs IN CANCER

Accumulating evidence demonstrates that IncRNAs may have a role in tumor biology (Prensner et al., 2011). The number of dysregulated IncRNAs identified in cancer cells highlights the relevance of these ncRNAs to malignancy and to the progression of the established disease and patients outcomes (Tsai et al., 2010). Mechanistically, most well-studied IncRNAs modulate gene expression through transcriptional (e.g., enhancer RNAs [eRNAs], Mousavi et al., 2013) rather than posttranscriptional regulation (e.g., metastasisassociated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 [MALAT1], Tripathi et al., 2010; Inc-Spry1, Rodríguez-Mateo et al., 2017; and BC200, J. Huang et al., 2014) of target genes. This function is mediated through cis- or transregulatory effects (D. Wang, Garcia-Bassets, et al., 2011). Table 1 summarizes the proposed mechanisms of the functions of IncRNAs in different cancers.

Although somatic mutation of IncRNAs in cancer has not been reported so far, numerous IncRNAs with altered expression have been identified in neoplastic cells. However, to what extent lncRNAs may undergo genomic amplification/deletion, somatic point mutations, or other targeted aberrations in cancers, remains an open question. As an instance, within prostate cancer cells, nearly half harbor gene fusions of the members of the E-twenty-six or erythroblast transformation specific (ETS) family of transcription factors, including ETS-related gene (ERG), ETS variant 1 (ETV1), ETV4, ETV5, which result in translocation of an androgen-regulated promoter to the ETS locus, leading to uncontrolled production of ETS family genes (Prensner Chinnaiyan, 2009). According to previous research, a patient with prostate cancer has been found to have a gene fusion between an intergenic androgen-regulated region described as prostate-specific IncRNA (PCAT-14) and ETV1 gene (Poliseno et al., 2010; Prensner et al., 2011). Similarly,

er type Function Reference	e and gastric cancer Coupling with histone modifying or Zhao et al. (2008), Kotake et al. (2011), Mercer chromatin remodeling protein et al. (2009) complexes				-rearranged leukemias Influencing the activity of gene K. C. Wang, Yang, et al. (2011), Ørom et al. (2 enhancers	st cancer, liver, lung, Coupling with histone-modifying or Yap et al. (2010), Y. Zhou et al. (2012), X. Zhan nd pancreatic tumors chromatin-remodeling protein (2010), Braconi et al. (2011), Huarte and R (2010), Gibb et al. (2011)	rr, liver, lung, Coupling with histone-modifying or Yap et al. (2010), Y. Zhou et al. (2012), X. Zha reatic tumors chromatin-remodeling protein (2010), Braconi et al. (2011), Huarte and complexes (2010), Gibb et al. (2011) arte and somplexes restor suppressor genes genes ma, lymphoma			cer Regulating mRNA splicing, editing, and Bond and Fox (2009), Prasanth et al. (2005), Be export et al. (2010), Tripathi et al. (2010)		
Examples Ca	ANRIL Pr	AIR Br	H19	KCNQ10T1	XIST	ноттір М	HOTAIR Br	GAS5 Br	MEG3 Pi	Line-p21 M	MALAT1 Lu	NEAT1 Pr
Molecular mechanism(s) of IncRNA	Epigenetic transcriptional regulation				Enhancer-associated long ncRNAs	Epigenetic transcriptional regulation	Epigeneuc transcriptional regulation Modulating tumor suppressor activity			Regulation of mRNA	processing and translation	
is-regulatory IncRNAs							ransregulatory IncRNAs					

Cell Biology ∐LEY─ International

in a patient with B-cell lymphoma, growth arrest-specific transcript 5 (GAS5), a tumor-suppressor IncRNA, have been shown to fuse with the B-cell lymphoma 6 (BCL6) gene to retain the full coding sequence of BCL6 (Huret, 2013). Finally, other studies have reported the genomic loss of IncRNA PTENP1, a pseudogene of the phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), leading to aberrant expression of PTENP1 in prostate and colon cancers (Poliseno et al., 2010). These data demonstrate the occurrence of IncRNAs somatic aberrations in cancers, though most studies have identified multiple changes in gene expression patterns as a frequent alteration of IncRNAs that has been associated with tumorigenesis. As in the case of several prominent oncogenes, such as Kirsten RNA-associated rat sarcoma (KRAS), which unlikely show functional aberrations due to changes in protein expression level, it is likely that some IncRNAs display structural aberrations (e.g. mutation, small insertions/deletions) rather than overt changes in expression level (Mestdagh et al., 2010). Thus, IncRNAs mutations continue to be an area of great importance in future cancer research.

9 | P53 AND IncRNAs

Studies suggest that p53 controls the expression of many genes and at the same time, a far more sophisticated regulatory network, such as translational and posttranslational modifications, have emerged to affect p53 itself (Halaby & Yang, 2007; Kruse & Gu, 2009). Upon activation, p53 is localized at promoters of target genes at specific binding sites or response elements and thereby drives the transcription of downstream genes such as p21 (Cip1) (Harper et al., 1993). Two binding domains have been identified in the tumor suppressor p53 protein: a sequence-specific DNA-binding domain and a sequence-independent domain at the carboxyl/C terminus that enhances the non-DNA-binding form of p53

(Harms & Chen, 2005). It has been shown that the C terminus of p53 regulates DNA binding by sequence-nonspecific interaction with RNA (K. J.-L. Riley & Maher, 2007; Yoshida et al., 2004). The interaction between p53 and RNA disrupts oligomerization of p53, leading to p53 latency (Yoshida et al., 2004). These studies propose a potentially important role of RNA in p53 activation.

LncRNAs have emerged as one of the key components of the p53 regulatory network in the human cell. In this regard, several lncRNAs have been reported to modify p53 function, described as critical p53 regulators. On the other hand, numerous studies indicate that p53 is able to regulate lncRNAs expression. For simplicity, a variety of lncRNAs were categorized into two groups (Table 2): Group 1 included the lncRNAs acting as p53 regulators, such as MALAT1, maternally expressed gene 3 (MEG3), p53-eRNAs, and WD40-encoding RNA antisense to p53 (Wrap53) and the Group 2 lncRNAs can serve as the effectors of p53 signaling pathway, such as lincRNA-p21, P21-associated ncRNA DNA damage activated (PANDA), and H19. Regulator of reprogramming (ROR) is found to be a unique lncRNA serving as p53 repressor as well as to be a p53 effector in response to DNA damage (Hung et al., 2011; Kaneko et al., 2010). Figure 1 depicts an overview of the regulatory network involving lncRNAs and p53 pathways.

10 | LncRNAs SERVING AS p53 REGULATORS

10.1 | MEG3

Reports have revealed that the MEG3 IncRNA acts as a tumor suppressor gene in many cancers. It is now evident that MEG3 is widely downregulated in the vast majority of human cancer tissues,

TABLE 2 Summary of some upstream and downstream IncRNAs of the p53 pathway

	Function	Example
p53 regulators	MALAT1/Neat2	Serves as a p53 repressor and is expressed in a cell cycle-dependent manner, with low levels during G1 and G2 and high levels during G1/S and M phases
	MEG3	As a tumor suppressor, MEG3 inhibits tumor cell proliferation possibly through induction of apoptosis, MEG3 interact with MDM2 or p53 to disrupt p53-MDM2 interaction or simply facilitate degradation of MDM2
	Wrap53	Plays a critical role in the regulation of p53 at the RNA level
	eRNAs	Have enhancer activity and interact intrachromosomally with multiple neighboring genes in a p53-dependent manner
p53 effectors	PANDA	PANDA is a p53 effector in response to DNA damage to suppress apoptosis while CDKN1A induces cell cycle arrest
	Loc285194	Loc285194 is found to be induced by p53 through interaction with the putative p53RE in the upstream region of loc285194 as a putative tumor suppressor
	H19	H19 interacts with p53, leading to the inactivation of p53
	Line RNA-p21	LincRNA-p21 serves as a p53 target and plays a role in triggering apoptosis

Abbreviations: CDKN1A, cyclin-dependent inhibitor kinase 1A; eRNA, enhancer-derived RNA; lincRNA, long intergenic ncRNA; lncRNA, long noncoding RNA; MALAT1, metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1; MDM2, mouse double minute 2 homolog; MEG3, maternally expressed gene 3; NEAT2, nuclear enriched abundant transcript 2; p53RE, p53 response element; PANDA, P21-associated ncRNA DNA damage activated; Wrap53, WD40-encoding RNA antisense to p53.



FIGURE 1 Crosstalk between mutant p53 and lincRNA-p21 in cancer cells. The nuclear factor NF-YA functions as a cofactor of p53 for lincRNA-p21 induction. The induction of lincRNA-p21 downstream of the p53 pathway upregulates p21 transcription and therefore promote p53-dependent apoptosis. LincRNA-p21 also mediates crosstalk between the p53 and JAK/STAT signaling pathways via blocking STAT3 phosphorylation, leading to the repression of its target genes and tumor suppression. JAK/STAT, Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription; KAP1, KRAB-associated protein-1; lincRNA-p21, long intergenic noncoding RNA p21; MALAT, metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript; MEG3, maternally expressed gene 3; F-YA, nuclear transcription factor Y subunit α; PANDA, P21-associated ncRNA DNA damage activated; ROR, lncRNA-regulator of reprogramming

including bladder, gastric, colorectal, glioma, breast, and oesophagal squamous cell carcinomas (Y. Zhou et al., 2012). It was not until recently, however, that the biological role of MEG3 in gastric cancer was described. In one in vitro study, ectopic expression of MEG3 was found to modulate the proliferation ability and metastasis of gastric cancer cells by controlling p53 protein expression (G. Wei & Wang, 2017). Other studies suggest that the inducible overexpression of MEG3 may work via transcriptional decrease of MDM2 gene expression, thus causing the accumulation of p53 protein in the context of breast tumor tissues (L. Sun et al., 2016). Moreover, MEG3 provides a further level of p53 regulation via promoting p53 binding to its target promoters (J. Zhu et al., 2015). Indeed, MEG3 was initially shown to bind to p53 in vitro. The in vivo proof for the interaction of MEG3 and p53 was recently discovered and the study supported the notion that MEG3 has no effects on MDM2-p53 binding, which was distinct from the proposed mechanism for MEG3 function (Bauer et al., 2019). Additional studies have provided further mechanistic insight into the structural and functional properties of MEG3 interacting with p53, which contributes to the enhanced upregulation of p53 target genes (Uroda et al., 2019). Selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by primer extension (SHAPE) RNA structure analysis dissected the specific highly conserved MEG3 core domains, and further systematic cell-based assays showed that these

motifs are strictly essential for stimulation of the p53, mutation of which markedly perturbs MEG3-binding characteristics and impairs p53 pathway activation (Uroda et al., 2019). Since p53 activation is also related to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, the regulatory relationship between MEG3 and ER stress has also been studied to unveil the mechanism. It was confirmed that MEG3 forms part of an ER stress-related apoptotic effectors and that the ER stress-related proteins expression was regulated by MEG3 under ER stress, leading to increased p53 expression via the nuclear factor *x*-light-chainenhancer of activated B cells (NF-xB) pathway (R. P. Chen et al., 2016). Overall, a wide variety of mechanisms, therefore, participate in the MEG3-induced p53-dependent apoptosis. Alternatively, as evidenced in a non-small cell lung cancer cell line, the MEG3-P53 pathway may also participate in chemotherapy-induced apoptosis so that the inhibition of MEG3 was shown to attenuate cell apoptosis induced by paclitaxel (Xu et al., 2018). In addition, an association of MEG3 expression and p53 level has been described to be involved in antiproliferative properties of a variety of antitumor agents in other cell systems, such as pancreatic (Hu et al., 2016), ovarian (Xiong et al., 2017), and human lung adenocarcinoma cells (J. Liu et al., 2015). In breast cancer progression, ubiquitously expressed transcript (UXT), which is markedly elevated in some human tumors, has been shown to modulate the expression of MEG3, Cell Biology WILEY- International

leading to the inhibition of p53-induced apoptosis, promoting invasion and accelerating tumor growth (Z.-F. Huang et al., 2020). Figure 2 illustrates the mechanisms by which MEG3 affects p53-dependent and p53-independent apoptotic pathways.

10.2 | MALAT1

MALAT1, also known as nuclear-enriched transcript 2 (NEAT2), was initially identified as a prognosis marker associated with non-small cell lung cancer invasion and metastasis (Ji et al., 2003). It was further found to be highly upregulated in various cancer cell types and is believed to promote cell proliferation, invasion, and migration (Gutschner et al., 2013; Yoshimoto et al., 2016). Remarkably, MALAT1 is almost invariably overexpressed in epithelial carcinomas with p53 malfunction (Jeffers et al., 2013) and has been proposed as a potential genetic marker for epithelial tumors (Yamada et al., 2006). Moreover, high MALAT1 levels have been shown to have a negative impact on treatment outcomes in patients with osteosarcoma (Fellenberg et al., 2007). At the molecular level, MALAT1 is found to play critical roles in regulating cellular processes of RNA processing and gene transcription (L. Yang et al., 2011). More detailed cellular functions of MALAT1 have recently been discovered and may be important in cell cycle arrest, cellular senescence, and apoptosis. Evidence suggests that MALAT1 stimulates sirtuin1 (SIRT1) deacetylase activity and thereby, inhibits p53 activity by promoting SIRT1-mediated p53 deacetylation (R. Chen et al., 2017). It is well known that decreased acetylation of p53 is associated with reduced transcription levels of its target genes (Vousden & Prives, 2009). In this context, MALAT1 activity seems to interfere with the physical interaction between SIRT1 and depleted in breast cancer 1 (DBC1), which is a nuclear protein involved in gene expression regulation (R. Chen et al., 2017). DBC1 has been reported to suppress the activity of multiple epigenetic modifiers like SIRT1 (Kim et al., 2008). MALAT1 also bind DBC1 and compete with SIRT1 for DBC1-binding sites. In another mechanism, MALAT1 can function in repressing the promoter activity of the p53 gene, leading to the regulation of p53 expression in human lung adenocarcinoma cells



p53 target gene

FIGURE 2 Positive and negative regulations of p53 signaling by IncRNAs. Various IncRNAs are involved in controlling epigenetic regulation of target gene expression by functioning either upstream or downstream of the p53 signaling pathway. These IncRNAs act as tumor suppressors, such as MEG3, Wrap53, PANDA, or as oncogenes like MALAT, and H19. LncRNAs may also play critical roles in the p53 signaling pathway as effector molecules, including lincRNA-p21 and p53eRNAs. ROR has been identified as an autoregulatory element for p53 response. ATF6, activating transcription factor 6; CHOP, CCAAT-enhancer-binding protein homologous protein; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; eRNA, enhancer RNA; GRP78, glucose-regulated protein 78; IRE1, inositol-requiring enzyme 1; LincRNA-p21, long intergenic noncoding RNA p21; IncRNA, long noncoding RNA; MALAT, metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript; MDM2, mouse double minute 2 homolog; MEG3, maternally expressed gene 3; NF-xB, nuclear factor κ-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; PANDA, P21-associated ncRNA DNA damage activated; ROR, IncRNA-regulator of reprogramming; Wrap53, WD40-encoding RNA antisense to p53

(Tano et al., 2018). Luciferase reporter gene assays have identified specific MALAT1-responsive regions in the p53 promoter by which MALAT1 negatively regulate transcription factors designed to target these elements and hence modulates p53 promoter activity (Tano et al., 2018). Furthermore, constitutive overexpression of MALAT1 in the presence of polyoma and papilloma oncoproteins has also been reported to disturb or even prevent the expression/function of p53. This finding has raised the potential value of MALAT1 to serve as a biomarker for p53 deregulation in cancerous tissues (Jeffers et al., 2013).

10.3 | Wrap53

In eukaryotic genomes, natural antisense transcripts (NAT) are IncRNA molecules that represent reverse complementary sequences to other transcripts and exert trans and cis effects on other genes to regulate gene expression (Lehner et al., 2002). Numerous NATs with potential regulatory functions are encoded by mammalian genomes. Epigenetic events altering gene expression by NATs can occur by either transcriptional (gene promoter) or posttranscriptional mechanisms (Kong et al., 2018). Wrap53 is a natural p53 antisense transcript originated from the p53 locus acting as a posttranscriptional regulator of the p53 gene. Studies indicate that Wrap53 has a positive impact on endogenous basal p53 mRNA levels (Farnebo, 2009). The 5'-untranslated region (UTR) of p53 mRNA is shown to overlap a complementary sequence on Wrap53 and this Wrap53/p53 RNA matching has the capacity to protect p53 mRNA from degradation, thereby sustaining p53 protein expression in response to DNA damage (Mahmoudi et al., 2009). The induction of Wrap53 antisense transcript is found essential to target cells for p53-dependent death, indicating the functional importance of Wrap53 in p53 regulation (Mahmoudi et al., 2009). A number of regulatory RNA molecules and proteins, such as transcription factors, miRNAs, the ZF protein CTCF (an acronym for a "CCCTCbinding factor"), and antisense transcripts interplay to regulate p53 gene expression (Saldaña-Meyer & Recillas-Targa, 2011). Among the various involved parties, the zinc finger CTCF is distinct and able to operate in parallel with Wrap53 RNA in regulating human p53 gene expression. Apart from its DNA-binding characteristics, CTCF is capable of binding a variety of RNAs, including Wrap53. Consistently, both CTCF and the Wrap53 transcripts acting upstream of p53, participate in the transcriptional response of p53 to DNA damage by CTCF binding to Wrap53 (Saldaña-Meyer et al., 2014).

10.4 | P53-eRNAs

Enhancer RNAs (eRNAs) represent a different class of ncRNAs functional in transcriptional activation (Shiekhattar, 2013). Enhancer regions in the genome interact intrachromosomally with and do control neighboring genes. P53 binding to specific enhancer regions is capable of regulating a variety of enhancer elements whose

expression conveys long-distance regulation of p53-dependent gene expression (Melo et al., 2013). These regulatory regions termed p53-bound enhancer regions are required for the transcriptional enhancement of multiple target genes and efficient p53-dependent cell death (Melo et al., 2016). In fact, direct transcriptional regulation by p53 occurs for a small group of nearby coding genes, and many p53-binding sites lie in the distant transcripts, predominantly within enhancer regions throughout the genome (Uzunbas et al., 2019). Integrative genome-wide analysis indicates that enhancer recognition is a hallmark of p53 function in response to DNA damage (Younger et al., 2015). The results point to the role of enhancer RNAs in epigenetic regulation of gene expression in a cell-type-specific manner (Ong & Corces, 2012). Notably, an enhancer does not necessarily have a specific target and can influence a set of genes. Contrary to the aforementioned findings, there are other studies uncovering unexpected roles and diverse functional outcomes as a result of interaction between enhancer-containing regions and p53 (M. Li et al., 2012). In mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells, a distinct mode for the p53-mediated transcriptional network by inhibiting enhancer activity has been proposed. Under stress, p53 activity promotes ES cell differentiation and this is associated with repression of p53-bound enhancers but not with their activation (M. Li et al., 2012). It has been reported that interfering with enhancer activity is partial because of interactions between p53 and KRABassociated protein-1 (KAP1), KAP1 is a mediator of transcription repression that promotes heterochromatin formation (Y. Zhu et al., 2012).

11 | P53-REGULATED IncRNAs

11.1 | LincRNA-p21

LincRNA-p21 is a p53-related gene associated with cell proliferation, apoptosis, and cell cycle (Yoon et al., 2012). Several lines of evidence have implicated lincRNA-p21 in the development of human diseases, such as tumorigenesis of colorectal cancer, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), skin tumors, and prostate cancer (Castellano et al., 2016; Hall et al., 2015; Isin et al., 2014; Isin et al., 2015; G. Wang et al., 2014; Zhai et al., 2013). In many studies, lincRNA-p21 appears to be a potential tumor suppressor. For example, lincRNA-p21 is reported to be evidently downregulated in colorectal cancer tissues (X. Li, Pu, et al., 2013), and human hepatocellular carcinoma (Ning et al., 2015). The role of lincRNA-p21 is closely related to the classical cancer signaling pathway controlling cellular responses to DNA damage or apoptosis. It has been noted that lincRNA-p21 functions as a tumor suppressor during the progression of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and has been known to induce cell cycle arrest at the G1 phase mediated by the p53 pathway (Y. Zhang et al., 2019). Indeed, lincRNA-p21 is identified as a target of wild-type p53 in this signaling pathway and as recently reported by another group is also transcriptionally regulated by the mutant p53 (Jin et al., 2019). Notably, the wild-type

and mutant p53 differentially regulate lincRNA-p21 expression in cells. Mutant p53 is found to potentiate lincRNA-p21 induction of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) cells in an nuclear transcription factor Y subunit α (NF-YA)-dependent manner. NF-YA as an essential cofactor in cancer is required to enhance the expression of lincRNA-p21 by mutated but not wild-type p53 (Jin et al., 2019). It is now recognized that the lincRNA-p21 mediate crosstalk between the p53 and Janus kinase (JAK)-signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) signaling pathways, which contributes to the development of HNSCC. Signaling via JAK2/ STAT3 pathway is an important event in malignant transformation (Johnson et al., 2018). According to recent research, the induction of lincRNA-p21 downstream of the p53 pathway can inhibit STAT3 phosphorylation through direct binding and therefore decreases the respective target genes of STAT3 in HNSCC-HN6 cells (Johnson et al., 2018). Furthermore, lincRNA-p21 may have additional functions in p53-dependent p21 transcription. Using a genetic approach, lincRNA-p21 has been described to act as a transcriptional coactivator of p53, influencing p21 expression in a cis-regulatory model (Dimitrova et al., 2014). In this way, lincRNA-p21 might also implicate in regulating a subset of polycomb-repressive complex 2 (PRC2) target genes through p21 (Campbell et al., 2010; Dimitrova et al., 2014). Some data also show that lincRNA-p21 plays a role in tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) that contribute to cancer progression. More recently, it has been demonstrated that lincRNAp21 is an important regulator of TAMs functional phenotype in the tumor microenvironment by interrupting p53 interaction with MDM2 (L. Zhou et al., 2020). Figure 3 represents the crosstalks between p53 and lincRNA-p21 in cell cycle arrest and tumor suppression.

11.2 | PANDA

PANDA is another evolutionarily conserved p53-regulated ncRNA located between lincRNA-p21 and the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (CDKN1A)/p21 transcription start site; however, its expression is not dependent on p21. Whereas p21 promotes cell cycle arrest, PANDA, whose expression is also induced in response to DNA damage, may have growth-promoting activity (Baldassarre & Masotti, 2012). In the human genome, the p21 and PANDA coding region are shown to be regulated by the p53 tumor suppressor, whereby p53 binds to upstream of the p21 transcription start site and activates the transcription of both target promoters (C.-L. Wei et al., 2006). PANDA, in turn, negatively affects the transcription of apoptosis activators, such as apoptotic peptidase activating factor 1 (APAF1), B-cell lymphoma-2-interacting killer (BIK), FAS, and leucine-rich and death domain (LRDD; Hung et al., 2011). PANDA mediates antiapoptotic functions by specifically associating with and repressing the NF-YA binding to promoters of canonical activators of apoptosis in normal human fibroblasts (Kotake & Kitagawa, 2015). On the other hand, the involvement of PANDA in the p53 pathway through other effects has been established. The RNA

interference-mediated depletion of PANDA has been shown to substantially decrease the p53 protein but not the p53 mRNA level, showing a new role for PANDA in the stabilization of p53 protein, which is independent of NF-YA (Kotake et al., 2016). The molecular mechanism(s) involved in this process remain(s) unknown.

11.3 | H19

In contrast to the previous reports, which indicate that IncRNA H19 has tumor-suppressive effects (Yoshimizu et al., 2008), other studies show that H19 may function as an oncogene in various cancers. H19 RNA has been characterized as an oncogene in breast, bladder, gastric cancer, and hepatocellular carcinomas (Lottin et al., 2002; Yoshimura et al., 2018; E.-B. Zhang, Han, et al., 2014). Several studies have reported the increased expression of H19 after hypoxic exposure (Matouk et al., 2007). Experiments in vitro and in vivo have shown a tight link between p53 status and H19 RNA induction upon hypoxia (Matouk et al., 2010). Several reports demonstrate a critical role for hypoxia-inducible factor- α (HIF1- α) in H19 upregulation when p53 is impaired (Matouk et al., 2010; Raveh et al., 2015), indicating an inhibitory effect of p53 on H19 induction. A further detailed experiment confirmed functional links between miR-675, the mature product of H19 (Cai & Cullen, 2007), and p53 activation in bladder cancer cells. MiR-675 is an miRNA processed from H19 and is known to play substantial roles in tumorigenesis driven by H19 RNA. Quantitative analysis of gene expression shows that bladder cancer tissues and cell lines express a markedly increased level of miR-675 compared with normal control. Moreover, the enhanced expression of miR-675 may promote bladder cancer growth and inhibit cell apoptosis in vitro, partly through a negative regulatory role on p53 (C. Liu et al., 2016). Also, hypoxia-induced H19 might be one of the mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of NSCLC via dysregulation of p53 as a direct target gene of miR-675 (Zheng et al., 2019). A recently published study found that the interaction of IncRNA H19, p53, and tumor necrosis factor-α-induced protein 8, which is an oncogenic molecule produced by TNF- α , play crucial roles in breast cancer metastasis (Zeng et al.,). LncRNA H19 has been found to cause induction of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer, especially triple-negative breast cancer by antagonizing p53 and increasing the expression of its target gene TNFAIP8.

12 | ROR AS A p53 EFFECTOR AND REGULATOR

12.1 | LncRNA-ROR

The newly discovered IncRNA ROR was initially identified in induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) as a critical factor that enables differentiated cells to reprogram into iPSCs. ROR was further demonstrated to have important regulatory roles in cancer biology,



Cell-cycle arrest

FIGURE 3 MEG3-dependent mechanisms of p53 upregulation. Under normal conditions, IncRNA MEG3 functions in different cellular compartments to protect p53 from degradation and enhance its gene expression, leading to p53 accumulation in the cell. In some cancer types, MEG3 also participate in NF-kB pathway induction thereby, which can activate the p53 pathway. Moreover, target gene binding of p53 is enhanced by interacting with MEG3 IncRNA. Alternatively, MEG3 can induce apoptosis via activation of ER stress-related (GRP78, IRE1, PERK, ATF6) and proapoptotic proteins (CHOP, caspase3) expression. ATF6, activating transcription factor 6; CHOP, CCAAT-enhancer-binding protein homologous protein; GRP78, glucose-regulated protein 78; IRE1, inositol-requiring enzyme 1; lincRNA-p21, long intergenic noncoding RNA p21; MEG3, maternally expressed gene 3; NF-κB, nuclear factor κ-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; NF-YA, nuclear transcription factor Y subunit α; PERK, protein endoplasmic reticulum kinase; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3

particularly tumor-promoting activities in breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and gallbladder cancer (Ahmadi, Abdolmohammadi-Vahid, et al., 2017; Hou et al., 2014; L. Li et al., 2016; S.-H. Wang et al., 2016; Zhan et al., 2016). IncRNA-ROR is considered to behave as a repressor of p53 and is also under the control of p53 (A. Zhang et al., 2013). Zhan et al. (2016) showed that IncRNA-ROR promotes tumor growth and invasion in vitro and confers metastatic capacities to pancreatic cancer cells in vivo. Their observations suggested that these effects may be mediated by IncRNA-ROR-induced activation of zinc finger E-box binding homeobox 1 (ZEB1), caused by inhibition of p53. H. Li et al. (2017) documented that knockdown of IncRNA-ROR could result in a remarkable increase in p53 protein level in colorectal cancer cells showing that IncRNA-ROR possesses a tumor-promoting function and is negatively correlated with p53 protein. Finally, a molecular mechanism integrating IncRNA-ROR and p53 pathway in resistance to radiotherapy for colorectal cancer has been uncovered by demonstrating that knockdown of lincRNA-ROR enhanced radiation

sensitivity and growth inhibition by inducing the p53/miR-145 axis (P. Yang et al., 2017). In contrast, high p53 and ROR levels, as well as low miR-145 expression have been correlated with poor prognosis in NSCLC. In NSCLC tissues and lung cancer stem cells, silencing ROR and/or p53 upregulated miR-145 level and led to the suppression of cell proliferation and migration in vitro and in vivo (Li & Zheng, 2017). In addition, the authors unveil that p53 also acts to promote lncRNA-ROR transcription (Li & Zheng, 2017). Altogether, these results establish an autoregulatory feedback loop involving ROR and p53 that provides a mechanism ensuring cancer cell growth.

13 | CONCLUSION

Genomic analyses for cancer mutations have allowed the detection of functional mutations in the noncoding regions of the genome, particularly on the expression level of IncRNAs. There is ample

evidence that IncRNAs participate in the malignant transformation of human cells through their interactions with diverse macromolecules, such as DNA, RNA, and proteins (Halaby & Yang, 2007). P53, as a frequently mutated tumor suppressor gene in cancer, has been discovered to be closely associated with IncRNAs. As mentioned above, p53-related IncRNAs can be categorized into two groups as p53 regulators, such as MEG3, MALAT1, Wrap53, p53-eRNAs, and p53 effectors IncRNAs like lincRNA-p21, PANDA, H19, and ROR. We now understand that participation of IncRNAs in cancer biology can provide several benefits as novel diagnostic biomarkers and therapeutic targets in the clinic. LncRNAs have been reported to have tissue-specific and cancer-specific expression patterns (Ahmadi, Abdolmohammadi-Vahid, 2017; K. C. Wang, Yang, et al., 2011). In conclusion, compelling evidence claims for the advantages of IncRNAs over many current protein-coding biomarkers in tissue-oforigin tests and cancer diagnostics (D. Wang, Garcia-Bassets, et al., 2011). The history of ncRNA-based research suggests their utility for diagnostic monitoring and potential ncRNA-based therapies in human cancers. Currently, several ongoing clinical trials are addressing the safety and efficacy of RNA interference (RNAi)-based therapeutics in a variety of illnesses, including cancer. Such an approach can be adopted to target IncRNA transcripts.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge for financial support of this project by the Stem Cell Research Center (SCRC) at Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (grant no. 65951).

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there are no conflict of interests.

ORCID

Majid Ahmadi 🕩 http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4787-5261

REFERENCES

- Ahmadi, M., Abdolmohammadi-Vahid, S., Ghaebi, M., Aghebati-Maleki, L., Dolati, S., Farzadi, L., Ghasemzadeh, A., Hamdi, K., Younesi, V., Nouri, M., & Yousefi, M. (2017). Regulatory T cells improve pregnancy rate in RIF patients after additional IVIG treatment. Systems Biology in Reproductive Medicine, 63, 350–359.
- Ahmadi, M., Gharibi, T., Dolati, S., Rostamzadeh, D., Aslani, S., Baradaran, B., Younesi, V., & Yousefi, M. (2017). Epigenetic modifications and epigenetic based medication implementations of autoimmune diseases. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, 87, 596–608.
- Aubrey, B. J., Janic, A., Chen, Y., Chang, C., Lieschke, E. C., Diepstraten, S. T., Kueh, A. J., Bernardini, J. P., Dewson, G., O'Reilly, L. A., Whitehead, L., Voss, A. K., Smyth, G. K., Strasser, A., & Kelly, G. L. (2018). Mutant TRP53 exerts a target gene-selective dominant-negative effect to drive tumor development. *Genes & Development*, *32*, 1420–1429.
- Baldassarre, A., & Masotti, A. (2012). Long non-coding RNAs and p53 regulation. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 13, 16708–16717.
- Bartkowiak, K., Riethdorf, S., & Pantel, K. (2012). The interrelating dynamics of hypoxic tumor microenvironments and cancer cell phenotypes in cancer metastasis. *Cancer Microenvironment*, 5, 59–72.

- Bauer, N. C., Yang, A., Wang, X., Zhou, Y., Klibanski, A., & Soberman, R. J. (2019). The interaction between p53 and Mdm2 is independent of MEG3-p53 association. *bioRxiv*, 857912.
- Benchimol, S. (2001). *p53-dependent pathways of apoptosis*. Nature Publishing Group.
- Bernard, D., Prasanth, K. V., Tripathi, V., Colasse, S., Nakamura, T., Xuan, Z., Zhang, M. Q., Sedel, F., Jourdren, L., Coulpier, F., Triller, A., Spector, D. L., & Bessis, A. (2010). A long nuclear-retained non-coding RNA regulates synaptogenesis by modulating gene expression. *The EMBO Journal, 29*, 3082–3093.
- Blokhin, I., Khorkova, O., Hsiao, J., & Wahlestedt, C. (2018). Developments in IncRNA drug discovery: Where are we heading? *Expert Opinion on Drug Discovery*, 13, 837–849.
- Bond, C. S., & Fox, A. H. (2009). Paraspeckles: Nuclear bodies built on long noncoding RNA. Journal of Cell Biology, 186, 637–644.
- Braconi, C., Kogure, T., Valeri, N., Huang, N., Nuovo, G., Costinean, S., Negrini, M., Miotto, E., Croce, C. M., & Patel, T. (2011). microRNA-29 can regulate expression of the long non-coding RNA gene MEG3 in hepatocellular cancer. *Oncogene*, 30, 4750–4756.
- Cai, X., & Cullen, B. R. (2007). The imprinted H19 noncoding RNA is a primary microRNA precursor. RNA, 13, 313–316.
- Campbell, P. J., Yachida, S., Mudie, L. J., Stephens, P. J., Pleasance, E. D., Stebbings, L. A., Morsberger, L. A., Latimer, C., McLaren, S., Lin, M. L., McBride, D. J., Varela, I., Nik-Zainal, S. A., Leroy, C., Jia, M., Menzies, A., Butler, A. P., Teague, J. W., Griffin, C. A., ... Futreal, P. A. (2010). The patterns and dynamics of genomic instability in metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Nature*, 467, 1109–1113.
- Castellano, J. J., Navarro, A., Viñolas, N., Marrades, R. M., Moises, J., Cordeiro, A., Saco, A., Muñoz, C., Fuster, D., Molins, L., Ramirez, J., & Monzo, M. (2016). LincRNA-p21 impacts prognosis in resected non-small cell lung cancer patients through angiogenesis regulation. *Journal of Thoracic Oncology*, 11, 2173–2182.
- Chen, R., Liu, Y., Zhuang, H., Yang, B., Hei, K., Xiao, M., Hou, C., Gao, H., Zhang, X., Jia, C., Li, L., Li, Y., & Zhang, N. (2017). Quantitative proteomics reveals that long non-coding RNA MALAT1 interacts with DBC1 to regulate p53 acetylation. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 45, 9947–9959.
- Chen, R. P., Huang, Z. L., Liu, L. X., Xiang, M. Q., Li, G. P., Feng, J. L., Liu, B., & Wu, L. F. (2016). Involvement of endoplasmic reticulum stress and p53 in IncRNA MEG3-induced human hepatoma HepG2 cell apoptosis. Oncology Reports, 36, 1649–1657.
- Chui, M. H., Boroujeni, A. M., Mandelker, D., Ladanyi, M., & Soslow, R. A. (2020). Characterization of TP53-wildtype tubo-ovarian high-grade serous carcinomas: Rare exceptions to the binary classification of ovarian serous carcinoma. *Modern Pathology*, 1–12.
- Cotsiki, M., Lock, R. L., Cheng, Y., Williams, G. L., Zhao, J., & Perera, D., et al. (2004). Simian virus 40 large T antigen targets the spindle assembly checkpoint protein Bub1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101(4), 947–952.
- DeCaprio, J. (1988). SV40 large tumor antigen forms a specific complex with the product of the retinoblastoma susceptibility gene. *Cell*, *54*, 275–283.
- Derrien, T., Johnson, R., Bussotti, G., Tanzer, A., Djebali, S., Tilgner, H., Guernec, G., Martin, D., Merkel, A., Knowles, D. G., Lagarde, J., Veeravalli, L., Ruan, X., Ruan, Y., Lassmann, T., Carninci, P., Brown, J. B., Lipovich, L., Gonzalez, J. M., ... Guigo, R. (2012). The GENCODE v7 catalog of human long noncoding RNAs: Analysis of their gene structure, evolution, and expression. *Genome Research*, 22, 1775–1789.
- Dimitrova, N., Zamudio, J. R., Jong, R. M., Soukup, D., Resnick, R., Sarma, K., Ward, A. J., Raj, A., Lee, J. T., Sharp, P. A., & Jacks, T. (2014). LincRNAp21 activates p21 in cis to promote Polycomb target gene expression and to enforce the G1/S checkpoint. *Molecular Cell*, 54, 777–790.
- Djebali, S., Davis, C. A., Merkel, A., Dobin, A., Lassmann, T., Mortazavi, A., Tanzer, A., Lagarde, J., Lin, W., Schlesinger, F., Xue, C., Marinov, G. K., Khatun, J., Williams, B. A., Zaleski, C., Rozowsky, J., Röder, M.,

Kokocinski, F., Abdelhamid, R. F., ... Gingeras, T. R. (2012). Landscape of transcription in human cells. *Nature*, 489, 101–108.

- Efeyan, A., & Serrano, M. (2007). p53: Guardian of the genome and policeman of the oncogenes. *Cell Cycle*, *6*, 1006–1010.
- Eghbal-Fard, S., Yousefi, M., Heydarlou, H., Ahmadi, M., Taghavi, S., Movasaghpour, A., Jadidi-Niaragh, F., Yousefi, B., Dolati, S., Hojjat-Farsangi, M., Rikhtegar, R., Nouri, M., & Aghebati-Maleki, L. (2019). The imbalance of Th17/Treg axis involved in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 234, 5106–5116.
- Ellermann, V., & Bang, O. (1909). Experimentelle Leukämie bei Hühnern. II. Zeitschrift für Hygiene und Infektionskrankheiten, 63, 231–272.
- Esteller, M. (2011). Epigenetic changes in cancer. F1000 Biology Reports, 3, 3.
- Farnebo, M. (2009). Wrap53, a novel regulator of p53. *Cell Cycle*, *8*, 23433-2346.
- Fellenberg, J., Bernd, L., Delling, G., Witte, D., & Zahlten-Hinguranage, A. (2007). Prognostic significance of drug-regulated genes in highgrade osteosarcoma. *Modern Pathology*, 20, 1085–1094.
- Ferlay, J., Colombet, M., Soerjomataram, I., Mathers, C., Parkin, D. M., Piñeros, M., Znaor, A., & Bray, F. (2019). Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. *International Journal of Cancer*, 144, 1941–1953.
- Garofalo, M., & Croce, C. M. (2011). microRNAs: Master regulators as potential therapeutics in cancer. Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology, 51, 25–43.
- Gholamkhasi, N., Asghari Moghaddam, N., & Mohammadgholi, A. (2020). Diverse cytotoxic capability of silver nanoparticles against the normal and cancerous lymphocytes. *International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research*, 8, 429–439.
- Gibb, E. A., Brown, C. J., & Lam, W. L. (2011). The functional role of long non-coding RNA in human carcinomas. *Molecular Cancer*, 10, 38.
- Gjoerup, O., Chao, H., DeCaprio, J. A., & Roberts, T. M. (2000). pRBdependent, J domain-independent function of simian virus 40 large T antigen in override of p53 growth suppression. *Journal of Virology*, 74, 864–874.
- Green, D. R., & Kroemer, G. (2009). Cytoplasmic functions of the tumour suppressor p53. Nature, 458, 1127–1130.
- Grossi, E., Sánchez, Y., & Huarte, M. (2016). Expanding the p53 regulatory network: LncRNAs take up the challenge. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta–Gene Regulatory Mechanisms*, 1859, 200–208.
- Gutschner, T., Hämmerle, M., & Diederichs, S. (2013). MALAT1–A paradigm for long noncoding RNA function in cancer. *Journal of Molecular Medicine*, 91, 791–801.
- Halaby, M.-J., & Yang, D.-Q. (2007). p53 translational control: A new facet of p53 regulation and its implication for tumorigenesis and cancer therapeutics. *Gene*, 395, 1–7.
- Hall, J., Messenger, Z., Tam, H., Phillips, S., Recio, L., & Smart, R. (2015). Long noncoding RNA lincRNA-p21 is the major mediator of UVBinduced and p53-dependent apoptosis in keratinocytes. *Cell Death & Disease*, 6, e1700.
- Harms, K. L., & Chen, X. (2005). The C terminus of p53 family proteins is a cell fate determinant. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 25, 2014–2030.
- Harper, J. W., Adami, G. R., Wei, N., Keyomarsi, K., & Elledge, S. J. (1993). The p21 Cdk-interacting protein Cip1 is a potent inhibitor of G1 cyclin-dependent kinases. *Cell*, *75*, 805–816.
- Hermeking, H. (2012). MicroRNAs in the p53 network: Micromanagement of tumour suppression. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 12, 613–626.
- Hou, P., Zhao, Y., Li, Z., Yao, R., Ma, M., Gao, Y., Zhao, L., Zhang, Y., Huang, B., & Lu, J. (2014). LincRNA-ROR induces epithelial-tomesenchymal transition and contributes to breast cancer tumorigenesis and metastasis. *Cell Death & Disease*, *5*, e1287.
- Hu, D., Su, C., Jiang, M., Shen, Y., Shi, A., Zhao, F., Chen, R., Shen, Z., Bao, J., & Tang, W. (2016). Fenofibrate inhibited pancreatic cancer cells proliferation via activation of p53 mediated by upregulation of

Cell Biology International^{-WILEY}

LncRNA MEG3. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 471, 290–295.

- Huang, J., Zhou, N., Watabe, K., Lu, Z., Wu, F., & Xu, M., et al. (2014). Long non-coding RNA UCA1 promotes breast tumor growth by suppression of p27 (Kip1). *Cell Death & Disease*, 5, e1008.(1), 1–10.
- Huang, Z.-F., Tang, Y.-L., Shen, Z.-L., Yang, K.-Y., & Gao, K. (2020). UXT, a novel DNMT3b-binding protein, promotes breast cancer progression via negatively modulating lncRNA MEG3/p53 axis.
- Huarte, M., & Rinn, J. L. (2010). Large non-coding RNAs: Missing links in cancer? Human Molecular Genetics, 19, R152–R161.
- Hung, T., Wang, Y., Lin, M. F., Koegel, A. K., Kotake, Y., Grant, G. D., Horlings, H. M., Shah, N., Umbricht, C., Wang, P., Wang, Y., Kong, B., Langerød, A., Børresen-Dale, A. L., Kim, S. K., van de Vijver, M., Sukumar, S., Whitfield, M. L., Kellis, M., ... Chang, H. Y. (2011). Extensive and coordinated transcription of noncoding RNAs within cell-cycle promoters. *Nature Genetics*, 43, 621–629.
- Huret, J.-L. (2013). t (1; 3)(q25; q27) GAS5/BCL6. Atlas of Genetics and Cytogenetics in Oncology and Haematology.
- Isin, M., Ozgur, E., Cetin, G., Erten, N., Aktan, M., Gezer, U., & Dalay, N. (2014). Investigation of circulating IncRNAs in B-cell neoplasms. *Clinica Chimica Acta*, 431, 255–259.
- Işın, M., Uysaler, E., Özgür, E., Köseoğlu, H., Şanlı, Ö., Yücel, Ö. B., et al. (2015). Exosomal IncRNA-p21 levels may help to distinguish prostate cancer from benign disease. Frontiers in Genetics, 6, 168.
- Iyer, M. K., Niknafs, Y. S., Malik, R., Singhal, U., Sahu, A., Hosono, Y., Barrette, T. R., Prensner, J. R., Evans, J. R., Zhao, S., Poliakov, A., Cao, X., Dhanasekaran, S. M., Wu, Y. M., Robinson, D. R., Beer, D. G., Feng, F. Y., Iyer, H. K., & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2015). The landscape of long noncoding RNAs in the human transcriptome. *Nature Genetics*, 47, 199–208.
- Jeffers, L. K., Duan, K., Ellies, L. G., Seaman, W. T., Burger-Calderon, R. A., Diatchenko, L. B., & Webster-Cyriaque, J. (2013). Correlation of transcription of MALAT-1, a novel noncoding RNA, with deregulated expression of tumor suppressor p53 in small DNA tumor virus models. *Journal of Cancer Therapy*, 04, 4–786.
- Ji, P., Diederichs, S., Wang, W., Böing, S., Metzger, R., Schneider, P. M., Tidow, N., Brandt, B., Buerger, H., Bulk, E., Thomas, M., Berdel, W. E., Serve, H., & Müller-Tidow, C. (2003). MALAT-1, a novel noncoding RNA, and thymosin β 4 predict metastasis and survival in earlystage non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncogene*, *22*, 8031–8041.
- Jin, S., Yang, X., Li, J., Yang, W., Ma, H., & Zhang, Z. (2019). p53-targeted lincRNA-p21 acts as a tumor suppressor by inhibiting JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathways in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Molecular Cancer*, 18, 38.
- Johnson, D. E., O'Keefe, R. A., & Grandis, J. R. (2018). Targeting the IL-6/ JAK/STAT3 signalling axis in cancer. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 15, 234.
- Kamada, R., Toguchi, Y., Nomura, T., Imagawa, T., & Sakaguchi, K. (2016). Tetramer formation of tumor suppressor protein p53: Structure, function, and applications. *Peptide Science*, 106, 598–612.
- Kaneko, S., Li, G., Son, J., Xu, C.-F., Margueron, R., Neubert, T. A., et al. (2010). Phosphorylation of the PRC2 component Ezh2 is cell cycle-regulated and up-regulates its binding to ncRNA. *Genes & Development*, 24, 2615–2620.
- Kierstead, T., & Tevethia, M. (1993). Association of p53 binding and immortalization of primary C57BL/6 mouse embryo fibroblasts by using simian virus 40 T-antigen mutants bearing internal overlapping deletion mutations. *Journal of Virology*, 67, 1817–1829.
- Kim, J.-E., Chen, J., & Lou, Z. (2008). DBC1 is a negative regulator of SIRT1. Nature, 451, 583–586.
- Kong, F., Deng, X., Kong, X., Du, Y., Li, L., Zhu, H., Wang, Y., Xie, D., Guha, S., Li, Z., Guan, M., & Xie, K. (2018). ZFPM2-AS1, a novel IncRNA, attenuates the p53 pathway and promotes gastric carcinogenesis by stabilizing MIF. Oncogene, 37, 5982–5996.

- Kotake, Y., Kitagawa, K., Ohhata, T., Sakai, S., Uchida, C., Niida, H., et al. (2016). Long non-coding RNA, PANDA, contributes to the stabilization of p53 tumor suppressor protein. *Anticancer Research*, 36, 1605–1611.
- Kotake, Y., & Kitagawa, M. (2015). Regulation of pRB and p53 pathways by the long noncoding RNAs ANRIL, lincRNA-p21, lincRNA-RoR, and PANDA, *Long noncoding RNAs* (pp. 175–189). Springer.
- Kotake, Y., Nakagawa, T., Kitagawa, K., Suzuki, S., Liu, N., Kitagawa, M., & Xiong, Y. (2011). Long non-coding RNA ANRIL is required for the PRC2 recruitment to and silencing of p15 INK4B tumor suppressor gene. Oncogene, 30, 1956–1962.
- Kruse, J.-P., & Gu, W. (2009). Modes of p53 regulation. *Cell*, 137, 609-622.
- Lander, E. S., Linton, L. M., Birren, B., Nusbaum, C., Zody, M. C., & Baldwin, J., et al. (2001). Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*, 409, 860–921.
- Lane, D. P., & Crawford, L. V. (1979). T antigen is bound to a host protein in SY40-transformed cells. *Nature*, 278, 261–263.
- Latha, N., Gyananath, G., Kasa, A., & Zubaidha, P. (2019). Validation of sigma I receptor occupancy with antipsychotic ligands: A molecular perspective. *Journal of Medicinal and Chemical Sciences*, 2, 46–50.
- Lavin, M. F., & Gueven, N. (2006). The complexity of p53 stabilization and activation. *Cell Death & Differentiation*, 13, 941–950.
- Lehner, B., Williams, G., Campbell, R. D., & Sanderson, C. M. (2002). Antisense transcripts in the human genome. *Trends in Genetics*, 18, 63–65.
- Leighton, P. A., Ingram, R. S., Eggenschwiler, J., Efstratiadis, A., & Tilghman, S. M. (1995). Disruption of imprinting caused by deletion of the H19 gene region in mice. *Nature*, 375, 34–39.
- Li, H., Jiang, X., & Niu, X. (2017). Long non-coding RNA reprogramming (ROR) promotes cell proliferation in colorectal cancer via affecting P53. Medical Science Monitor: International Medical Journal of Experimental and Clinical Research, 23, 919–928.
- Li, J., Wang, X., Diaz, J., Tsang, S. H., Buck, C. B., & You, J. (2013). Merkel cell polyomavirus large T antigen disrupts host genomic integrity and inhibits cellular proliferation. *Journal of Virology*, 87, 9173–9188.
- Li, J. C., & Zheng, J. Q. (2017). Effect of microRNA-145 on proliferation and apoptosis of human non-small cell lung cancer A549 cells by regulating mTOR signaling pathway. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry. Advance Online Publication.* https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.26629
- Li, L., Gu, M., You, B., Shi, S., Shan, Y., Bao, L., & You, Y. (2016). Long non-coding RNA ROR promotes proliferation, migration and chemoresistance of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Science*, 107, 1215–1222.
- Li, M., He, Y., Dubois, W., Wu, X., Shi, J., & Huang, J. (2012). Distinct regulatory mechanisms and functions for p53-activated and p53repressed DNA damage response genes in embryonic stem cells. *Molecular Cell*, 46, 30–42.
- Li, X., Pu, J., Jiang, S., Su, J., Kong, L., Mao, B., Sun, H., & Li, Y. (2013). Henryin, an ent-kaurane diterpenoid, inhibits Wnt signaling through interference with β-catenin/TCF4 interaction in colorectal cancer cells. *PLOS One*, *8*, 8.
- Lilyestrom, W., Klein, M. G., Zhang, R., Joachimiak, A., & Chen, X. S. (2006). Crystal structure of SV40 large T-antigen bound to p53: Interplay between a viral oncoprotein and a cellular tumor suppressor. *Genes* & Development, 20, 2373–2382.
- Linzer, D. I., & Levine, A. J. (1979). Characterization of a 54K dalton cellular SV40 tumor antigen present in SV40-transformed cells and uninfected embryonal carcinoma cells. *Cell*, 17, 43–52.
- Liu, C., Chen, Z., Fang, J., Xu, A., Zhang, W., & Wang, Z. (2016). H19derived miR-675 contributes to bladder cancer cell proliferation by regulating p53 activation. *Tumor Biology*, 37, 263–270.
- Liu, J., Wan, L., Lu, K., Sun, M., Pan, X., Zhang, P., Lu, B., Liu, G., & Wang, Z. (2015). The long noncoding RNA MEG3 contributes to cisplatin resistance of human lung adenocarcinoma. *PLOS One*, 10, 10.

- Lottin, S. (2002). Overexpression of an ectopic H19 gene enhances the tumorigenic properties of breast cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis*, 23, 1885–1895.
- Mahmoudi, S., Henriksson, S., Corcoran, M., Méndez-Vidal, C., Wiman, K. G., & Farnebo, M. (2009). Wrap53, a natural p53 antisense transcript required for p53 induction upon DNA damage. *Molecular Cell*, 33, 462–471.
- Matouk, I. J., DeGroot, N., Mezan, S., Ayesh, S., Abu-lail, R., Hochberg, A., & Galun, E. (2007). The H19 non-coding RNA is essential for human tumor growth. *PLOS One*, *2*, 2.
- Matouk, I. J., Mezan, S., Mizrahi, A., Ohana, P., Abu-lail, R., Fellig, Y., de Groot, N., Galun, E., & Hochberg, A. (2010). The oncofetal H19 RNA connection: Hypoxia, p53 and cancer. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta–Molecular Cell Research*, 1803, 443–451.
- Melo, C. A., Drost, J., Wijchers, P. J., van deWerken, H., de Wit, E., Vrielink, J. A. F. O., Elkon, R., Melo, S. A., Léveillé, N., Kalluri, R., de Laat, W., & Agami, R. (2013). eRNAs are required for p53dependent enhancer activity and gene transcription. *Molecular Cell*, 49, 524–535.
- Melo, C. A., Léveillé, N., Rooijers, K., Wijchers, P. J., Geeven, G., Tal, A., Melo, S. A., de Laat, W., & Agami, R. (2016). A p53-bound enhancer region controls a long intergenic noncoding RNA required for p53 stress response. Oncogene, 35, 4399–4406.
- Mercer, T. R., Dinger, M. E., & Mattick, J. S. (2009). Long non-coding RNAs: Insights into functions. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 10, 155–159.
- Merkle, F. T., Ghosh, S., Kamitaki, N., Mitchell, J., Avior, Y., Mello, C., Kashin, S., Mekhoubad, S., Ilic, D., Charlton, M., Saphier, G., Handsaker, R. E., Genovese, G., Bar, S., Benvenisty, N., McCarroll, S. A., & Eggan, K. (2017). Human pluripotent stem cells recurrently acquire and expand dominant negative P53 mutations. *Nature*, *545*, 229–233.
- Mestdagh, P., Fredlund, E., Pattyn, F., Rihani, A., Van Maerken, T., Vermeulen, J., Kumps, C., Menten, B., De Preter, K., Schramm, A., Schulte, J., Noguera, R., Schleiermacher, G., Janoueix-Lerosey, I., Laureys, G., Powel, R., Nittner, D., Marine, J. C., Ringnér, M., ... Vandesompele, J. (2010). An integrative genomics screen uncovers ncRNA T-UCR functions in neuroblastoma tumours. *Oncogene, 29*, 3583–3592.
- Moll, U. M., Wolff, S., Speidel, D., & Deppert, W. (2005). Transcriptionindependent pro-apoptotic functions of p53. Current Opinion in Cell Biology, 17, 631–636.
- Morris, K. V., & Mattick, J. S. (2014). The rise of regulatory RNA. Nature Reviews Genetics, 15, 423–437.
- Mousavi, K., Zare, H., Dell'Orso, S., Grontved, L., Gutierrez-Cruz, G., Derfoul, A., Hager, G. L., & Sartorelli, V. (2013). eRNAs promote transcription by establishing chromatin accessibility at defined genomic loci. *Molecular Cell*, 51, 606–617.
- Nabati, M., Kermanian, M.-a., Mohammadnejad-Mehrabani, H., Rahbar Kafshboran, H., Mehmannavaz, M., & Sarshar, S. (2018). Theoretical investigation on the antitumor drug: Thiotepa and its interaction with s-donor biomolecules and DNA purine bases. *Chemical Methodologies*, 2, 128–140.
- Nakazawa, S., Sakata, K., Liang, S., Yoshikawa, K., Iizasa, H., Tada, M., Hamada, J., Kashiwazaki, H., Kitagawa, Y., & Yamazaki, Y. (2019). Dominant-negative p53 mutant R248Q increases the motile and invasive activities of oral squamous cell carcinoma cells. *Biomedical Research*, 40, 37–49.
- Nikolova, P. V., Wong, K. B., DeDecker, B., Henckel, J., & Fersht, A. R. (2000). Mechanism of rescue of common p53 cancer mutations by second-site suppressor mutations. *The EMBO Journal*, 19, 370–378.
- Ning, Y., Yong, F., Haibin, Z., Hui, S., Nan, Z., & Guangshun, Y. (2015). LincRNAp21 activates endoplasmic reticulum stress and inhibits hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncotarget, 6, 28151–28163.
- Ong, C. T., & Corces, V. G. (2012). Enhancers: Emerging roles in cell fate specification. EMBO Reports, 13, 423–430.

- Ørom, U. A., Derrien, T., Beringer, M., Gumireddy, K., Gardini, A., Bussotti, G., Lai, F., Zytnicki, M., Notredame, C., Huang, Q., Guigo, R., & Shiekhattar, R. (2010). Long noncoding RNAs with enhancer-like function in human cells. *Cell*, 143, 46–58.
- Pallas, D. C., Shahrik, L. K., Martin, B. L., Jaspers, S., Miller, T. B., Brautigan, D. L., & Roberts, T. M. (1990). Polyoma small and middle T antigens and SV40 small t antigen form stable complexes with protein phosphatase 2A. *Cell*, 60, 167–176.
- Peden, K., Srinivasan, A., Vartikar, J. V., & Pipas, J. M. (1998). Effects of mutations within the SV40 large T antigen ATPase/p53 binding domain on viral replication and transformation. *Virus Genes*, 16, 153–165.
- Peitzsch, C., Tyutyunnykova, A., Pantel, K., & Dubrovska, A. (2017). Cancer stem cells: The root of tumor recurrence and metastases, *Seminars in cancer biology* (pp. 10–24). Elsevier.
- Penny, G. D., Kay, G. F., Sheardown, S. A., Rastan, S., & Brockdorff, N. (1996). Requirement for Xist in X chromosome inactivation. *Nature*, 379, 131–137.
- Pipas, J. M. (2009). SV40: Cell transformation and tumorigenesis. Virology, 384, 294–303.
- Poliseno, L., Salmena, L., Zhang, J., Carver, B., Haveman, W. J., & Pandolfi, P. P. (2010). A coding-independent function of gene and pseudogene mRNAs regulates tumour biology. *Nature*, 465, 1033–1038.
- Prasanth, K. V., Prasanth, S. G., Xuan, Z., Hearn, S., Freier, S. M., Bennett, C. F., Zhang, M. Q., & Spector, D. L. (2005). Regulating gene expression through RNA nuclear retention. *Cell*, 123, 249–263.
- Prensner, J. R., & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2009). Oncogenic gene fusions in epithelial carcinomas. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*, 19, 82–91.
- Prensner, J. R., Iyer, M. K., Balbin, O. A., Dhanasekaran, S. M., Cao, Q., Brenner, J. C., Laxman, B., Asangani, I. A., Grasso, C. S., Kominsky, H. D., Cao, X., Jing, X., Wang, X., Siddiqui, J., Wei, J. T., Robinson, D., Iyer, H. K., Palanisamy, N., Maher, C. A., & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2011). Transcriptome sequencing across a prostate cancer cohort identifies PCAT-1, an unannotated lincRNA implicated in disease progression. *Nature Biotechnology*, *29*, 742–749.
- Raveh, E., Matouk, I. J., Gilon, M., & Hochberg, A. (2015). The H19 Long non-coding RNA in cancer initiation, progression and metastasis-a proposed unifying theory. *Molecular Cancer*, 14, 184.
- Riley, K. J.-L., & Maher, L. J. (2007). p53–RNA interactions: New clues in an old mystery. *RNA*, 13, 1825–1833.
- Riley, T., Sontag, E., Chen, P., & Levine, A. (2008). Transcriptional control of human p53-regulated genes. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 9, 402–412.
- Rodríguez-Mateo, C., Torres, B., Gutiérrez, G., & Pintor-Toro, J. A. (2017). Downregulation of Lnc-Spry1 mediates TGF-β-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition by transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulatory mechanisms. *Cell Death & Differentiation*, 24, 785–797.
- Rous, P. (1911). A sarcoma of the fowl transmissible by an agent separable from the tumor cells. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 13, 397–411.
- Saldaña-Meyer, R., González-Buendía, E., Guerrero, G., Narendra, V., Bonasio, R., Recillas-Targa, F., et al. (2014). CTCF regulates the human p53 gene through direct interaction with its natural antisense transcript, Wrap53. Genes &. Development, 28, 723–734.
- Saldaña-Meyer, R., & Recillas-Targa, F. (2011). Transcriptional and epigenetic regulation of the p53 tumor suppressor gene. *Epigenetics*, 6, 1068–1077.
- Shali, H., Ahmadi, M., Kafil, H. S., Dorosti, A., & Yousefi, M. (2016). IGF1R and c-met as therapeutic targets for colorectal cancer. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, 82, 528–536.

1597

- Shiekhattar, R. (2013). Opening the Chromatin by eRNAs. *Molecular Cell*, 51, 557–558.
- Shuda, M., Feng, H., Kwun, H. J., Rosen, S. T., Gjoerup, O., Moore, P. S., & Chang, Y. (2008). T antigen mutations are a human tumor-specific signature for Merkel cell polyomavirus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105, 16272–16277.
- Sun, L., Li, Y., & Yang, B. (2016). Downregulated long non-coding RNA MEG3 in breast cancer regulates proliferation, migration and invasion by depending on p53's transcriptional activity. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 478, 323–329.
- Sun, W., & Yang, J. (2010). Functional mechanisms for human tumor suppressors. Journal of Cancer, 1, 136–140.
- Tano, K., Onoguchi-Mizutani, R., Yeasmin, F., Uchiumi, F., Suzuki, Y., Yada, T., & Akimitsu, N. (2018). Identification of minimal p53 promoter region regulated by MALAT1 in human lung adenocarcinoma Cells. Frontiers in Genetics, 8, 208.
- Tornesello, M. L., Annunziata, C., Tornesello, A. L., Buonaguro, L., & Buonaguro, F. M. (2018). Human oncoviruses and p53 tumor suppressor pathway deregulation at the origin of human cancers. *Cancers*, 10, 213.
- Tripathi, V., Ellis, J. D., Shen, Z., Song, D. Y., Pan, Q., Watt, A. T., Freier, S. M., Bennett, C. F., Sharma, A., Bubulya, P. A., Blencowe, B. J., Prasanth, S. G., & Prasanth, K. V. (2010). The nuclear-retained noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates alternative splicing by modulating SR splicing factor phosphorylation. *Molecular Cell*, 39, 925–938.
- Tsai, M.-C., Manor, O., Wan, Y., Mosammaparast, N., Wang, J. K., Lan, F., Shi, Y., Segal, E., & Chang, H. Y. (2010). Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. *Science*, 329, 689-693.
- Upton, C., DeLange, A., & McFadden, G. (1987). Tumorigenic poxviruses: Genomic organization and DNA sequence of the telomeric region of the Shope fibroma virus genome. *Virology*, 160, 20–30.
- Uroda, T., Anastasakou, E., Rossi, A., Teulon, J.-M., Pellequer, J.-L., Annibale, P., Pessey, O., Inga, A., Chillón, I., & Marcia, M. (2019). Conserved pseudoknots in IncRNA MEG3 are essential for stimulation of the p53 pathway. *Molecular Cell*, 75(5), 982–995.e9
- Uzunbas, G. K., Ahmed, F., & Sammons, M. A. (2019). Control of p53dependent transcription and enhancer activity by the p53 family member. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 294(27), 10720–10736.
- Vousden, K. H., & Prives, C. (2009). Blinded by the light: The growing complexity of p53. *Cell*, 137, 413–431.
- Wang, D., Garcia-Bassets, I., Benner, C., Li, W., Su, X., Zhou, Y., Qiu, J., Liu, W., Kaikkonen, M. U., Ohgi, K. A., Glass, C. K., Rosenfeld, M. G., & Fu, X. D. (2011). Reprogramming transcription by distinct classes of enhancers functionally defined by eRNA. *Nature*, 474, 390–394.
- Wang, G., Li, Z., Zhao, Q., Zhu, Y., Zhao, C., Li, X., MA, Z., LI, X., & ZHANG, Y. (2014). LincRNA-p21 enhances the sensitivity of radiotherapy for human colorectal cancer by targeting the Wnt/βcatenin signaling pathway. Oncology Reports, 31, 1839–1845.
- Wang, K. C., Yang, Y. W., Liu, B., Sanyal, A., Corces-Zimmerman, R., Chen, Y., Lajoie, B. R., Protacio, A., Flynn, R. A., Gupta, R. A., Wysocka, J., Lei, M., Dekker, J., Helms, J. A., & Chang, H. Y. (2011). A long noncoding RNA maintains active chromatin to coordinate homeotic gene expression. *Nature*, 472, 120–124.
- Wang, S.-H., Zhang, M.-D., Wu, X.-C., Weng, M.-Z., Zhou, D., & Quan, Z.-W. (2016). Overexpression of LncRNA-ROR predicts a poor outcome in gallbladder cancer patients and promotes the tumor cells proliferation, migration, and invasion. *Tumor Biology*, 37, 12867–12875.
- Wei, C.-L., Wu, Q., Vega, V. B., Chiu, K. P., Ng, P., Zhang, T., Shahab, A., Yong, H. C., Fu, Y., Weng, Z., Liu, J., Zhao, X. D., Chew, J. L., Lee, Y. L., Kuznetsov, V. A., Sung, W. K., Miller, L. D., Lim, B., Liu, E. T., ... Ruan, Y. (2006). A global map of p53 transcription-factor binding sites in the human genome. *Cell*, 124, 207–219.

- Wei, G., & Wang, X. (2017). IncRNA MEG3 inhibit proliferation and metastasis of gastric cancer via p53 signaling pathway. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences, 21, 3850–3856.
- Xiong, Y., Liu, T., Wang, S., Chi, H., Chen, C., & Zheng, J. (2017). Cyclophosphamide promotes the proliferation inhibition of mouse ovarian granulosa cells and premature ovarian failure by activating the lncRNA-Meg3-p53-p66Shc pathway. *Gene*, 596, 1–8.
- Xu, J., Su, C., Zhao, F., Tao, J., Hu, D., Shi, A., Pan, J., & Zhang, Y. (2018). Paclitaxel promotes lung cancer cell apoptosis via MEG3-P53 pathway activation. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 504, 123–128.
- Yachida, S., Jones, S., Bozic, I., Antal, T., Leary, R., Fu, B., Kamiyama, M., Hruban, R. H., Eshleman, J. R., Nowak, M. A., Velculescu, V. E., Kinzler, K. W., Vogelstein, B., & lacobuzio-Donahue, C. A. (2010). Distant metastasis occurs late during the genetic evolution of pancreatic cancer. *Nature*, 467, 1114–1117.
- Yamada, K., Kano, J., Tsunoda, H., Yoshikawa, H., Okubo, C., Ishiyama, T., & Noguchi, M. (2006). Phenotypic characterization of endometrial stromal sarcoma of the uterus. *Cancer Science*, 97, 106–112.
- Yang, L., Lin, C., Liu, W., Zhang, J., Ohgi, K. A., Grinstein, J. D., Dorrestein, P. C., & Rosenfeld, M. G. (2011). ncRNA-and Pc2 methylation-dependent gene relocation between nuclear structures mediates gene activation programs. *Cell*, 147, 773–788.
- Yang, M. Q., Koehly, L. M., & Elnitski, L. L. (2007). Comprehensive annotation of bidirectional promoters identifies co-regulation among breast and ovarian cancer genes. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 3, 3.
- Yang, P., Yang, Y., An, W., Xu, J., Zhang, G., Jie, J., & Zhang, Q. (2017). The long noncoding RNA-ROR promotes the resistance of radiotherapy for human colorectal cancer cells by targeting the p53/miR-145 pathway. *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 32, 837–845.
- Yap, K. L., Li, S., Muñoz-Cabello, A. M., Raguz, S., Zeng, L., Mujtaba, S., Gil, J., Walsh, M. J., & Zhou, M. M. (2010). Molecular interplay of the noncoding RNA ANRIL and methylated histone H3 lysine 27 by polycomb CBX7 in transcriptional silencing of INK4a. *Molecular Cell*, 38, 662–674.
- Yoon, J.-H., Abdelmohsen, K., Srikantan, S., Yang, X., Martindale, J. L., De, S., Huarte, M., Zhan, M., Becker, K. G., & Gorospe, M. (2012). LincRNA-p21 suppresses target mRNA translation. *Molecular Cell*, 47, 648–655.
- Yoshida, Y., Izumi, H., Torigoe, T., Ishiguchi, H., Yoshida, T., Itoh, H., & Kohno, K. (2004). Binding of RNA to p53 regulates its oligomerization and DNA-binding activity. *Oncogene*, 23, 4371–4379.
- Yoshimizu, T., Miroglio, A., Ripoche, M.-A., Gabory, A., Vernucci, M., Riccio, A., Colnot, S., Godard, C., Terris, B., Jammes, H., & Dandolo, L. (2008). The H19 locus acts in vivo as a tumor suppressor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105, 12417–12422.
- Yoshimoto, R., Mayeda, A., Yoshida, M., & Nakagawa, S. (2016). MALAT1 long non-coding RNA in cancer. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta–Gene Regulatory Mechanisms, 1859, 192–199.
- Yoshimura, H., Matsuda, Y., Yamamoto, M., Kamiya, S., & Ishiwata, T. (2018). Expression and role of long non-coding RNA H19 in carcinogenesis. *Frontiers in Bioscience*, 23, 614–625.
- Younger, S. T., Kenzelmann-Broz, D., Jung, H., Attardi, L. D., & Rinn, J. L. (2015). Integrative genomic analysis reveals widespread enhancer regulation by p53 in response to DNA damage. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43, 4447–4462.
- Yu, W., Gius, D., Onyango, P., Muldoon-Jacobs, K., Karp, J., Feinberg, A. P., & Cui, H. (2008). Epigenetic silencing of tumour suppressor gene p15 by its antisense RNA. *Nature*, 451, 202–206.
- Zhai, H., Fesler, A., Schee, K., Fodstad, Ø., Flatmark, K., & Ju, J. (2013). Clinical significance of long intergenic noncoding RNA-p21 in colorectal cancer. *Clinical Colorectal Cancer*, 12, 261–266.

- Zhan, H., Wang, Y., Li, C., Xu, J., Zhou, B., Zhu, J., Han, H., Wang, L., Wang, Y., & Hu, S. (2016). LincRNA-ROR promotes invasion, metastasis and tumor growth in pancreatic cancer through activating ZEB1 pathway. *Cancer Letters*, 374, 261–271.
- Zhang, A., Xu, M., & Mo, Y.-Y. (2014). Role of the IncRNA-p53 regulatory network in cancer. *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology*, *6*, 181–191.
- Zhang, A., Zhou, N., Huang, J., Liu, Q., Fukuda, K., Ma, D., Lu, Z., Bai, C., Watabe, K., & Mo, Y. Y. (2013). The human long non-coding RNA-RoR is a p53 repressor in response to DNA damage. *Cell Research*, 23, 340–350.
- Zhang, E.-B., Han, L., Yin, D.-D., Kong, R., De, W., & Chen, J. (2014). c-Myc-induced, long, noncoding H19 affects cell proliferation and predicts a poor prognosis in patients with gastric cancer. *Medical Oncology*, 31, 914.
- Zhang, X., Gejman, R., Mahta, A., Zhong, Y., Rice, K. A., Zhou, Y., Cheunsuchon, P., Louis, D. N., & Klibanski, A. (2010). Maternally expressed gene 3, an imprinted noncoding RNA gene, is associated with meningioma pathogenesis and progression. *Cancer Research*, 70, 2350–2358.
- Zhang, Y., Miao, Y., Shang, M., Liu, M., Liu, R., Pan, E., Pu, Y., & Yin, L. (2019). LincRNA-p21 leads to G1 arrest by p53 pathway in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Management and Research*, 11, 6201–6214.
- Zhao, J., Sun, B. K., Erwin, J. A., Song, J.-J., & Lee, J. T. (2008). Polycomb proteins targeted by a short repeat RNA to the mouse X chromosome. *Science*, 322, 750–756.
- Zheng, Z. H., Wu, D. M., Fan, S. H., Zhang, Z. F., Chen, G. Q., & Lu, J. (2019). Upregulation of miR-675-5p induced by IncRNA H19 was associated with tumor progression and development by targeting tumor suppressor p53 in non-small cell lung cancer. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 120, 18724–18735.
- Zhou, L., Tian, Y., Guo, F., Yu, B., Li, J., Xu, H., & Su, Z. (2020). LincRNAp21 knockdown reversed tumor-associated macrophages function by promoting MDM2 to antagonize* p53 activation and alleviate breast cancer development. *Cancer Immunology*, *Immunotherapy*, 69, 1–12.
- Zhou, Y., Zhang, X., & Klibanski, A. (2012). MEG3 noncoding RNA: A tumor suppressor. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology*, 48, R45–R53.
- Zhu, J., Liu, S., Ye, F., Shen, Y., Tie, Y., Zhu, J., Wei, L., Jin, Y., Fu, H., Wu, Y., & Zheng, X. (2015). Long noncoding RNA MEG3 interacts with p53 protein and regulates partial p53 target genes in hepatoma cells. *PLOS One*, 10, 10.
- Zhu, J. Y., Abate, M., Rice, P. W., & Cole, C. N. (1991). The ability of simian virus 40 large T antigen to immortalize primary mouse embryo fibroblasts cosegregates with its ability to bind to p53. *Journal of Virology*, 65, 6872–6880.
- Zhu, Y., van Essen, D., & Saccani, S. (2012). Cell-type-specific control of enhancer activity by H3K9 trimethylation. *Molecular Cell*, 46, 408–423.

How to cite this article: Aravindhan, S., Younus, L. A., Hadi lafta, M., Alexander, M., Ivanovna Enina, Y., A. Yushchenko, N., Thangavelu, L., Mostafavi, S. M., V. Pokrovskii, M., & Ahmadi, M. (2021). P53 long noncoding RNA regulatory network in cancer development. *Cell Biol Int*, 45, 1583–1598. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbin.11600